

Ms. Rosa Lewis
Reports Analysis Division
Federal Election Commission
999 E Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20463

July 22, 2007

RE:

Amended August 20, 2006 report for activity from July 1, 2006 through July 31, 2006 filed July 22, 2007
Amended September 20, 2006 report for activity from August 1, 2006 through August 31, 2006 filed July 22, 2007
Amended October 20, 2006 report for activity from September 1, 2006 through September 30, 2006 filed July 22, 2007
Amended Pre-General 2006 report for activity from October 1, 2006 through October 18, 2006 filed July 22, 2007
Amended Post-General 2006 report for activity from October 19, 2006 through November 27, 2006 filed July 22, 2007
Amended Year End 2006 report for activity from November 27, 2006 through December 31, 2006 filed July 22, 2007
July 20, 2007 report for activity from June 1, 2007 through June 30, 2007 filed July 20, 2007

Dear Ms. Lewis:

Pursuant to a desk audit performed for the Committee, the Committee is filing the amendments cited above and changes to the Schedule D Line 10 for the July 20, 2007 report. The types of changes made are as follow:

1. Incorrect Payees- For four disbursements appearing on Schedule B Line 21B, the Committee incorrectly listed the ultimate vendor as the payee. These transactions have been corrected to show the payee listed on the check, with the ultimate vendor disclosed in a memo. The Committee has corrected its reporting practices to ascertain that the actual payees are reported and that memo entries are clearly marked.
2. Timing Differences/Incorrect Dates - The Committee aggregated certain bank fees and merchant service fees, disclosing them as having been paid at the end of the month rather than on the date on which they were actually charged against the Committee's checking account. In the election year, this caused a timing difference that resulted in the following:
 - a. Total Disbursements for the Period and for the Calendar Year to Date were understated by \$3,676.99 on the Post General 2006 report.
 - b. Cash on Hand at the End of the Period was overstated by \$3,676.99 on the Post General 2006 report,
 - c. Cash on Hand at the Beginning of the Period was overstated by \$3,676.99 on the Year End 2006 report.
 - d. Total Disbursements for the Period were overstated by \$3,676.99 on the Year End 2006 report.
 - e. The dates have been changed in the amendments. The Committee will change its practice of aggregating charges when this will result in a timing difference that causes incorrect or incomplete disclosure.
3. Incorrect Dates - In other cases, the Committee recorded debit transactions as having occurred on dates other than those on which the transactions were processed in the Committee's checking account. These transactions did not cause discrepancies in reporting totals and the dates have been corrected in the amendments.
4. Duplicate Contributors-Of 12,001 records of contributors in the Committee's database, the review found 24 that were possible duplicates. The review determined that these possible duplicates could have resulted in Committee's misreporting of itemized contribution information. The review determined that these duplicates did not result in the Committee's inadvertent acceptance of excessive contributions. The Committee investigated these possible duplicate records to determine and determined the following:
 - a. Five records either were not duplicates or did not result in the Committee's misreporting of itemized contribution information.
 - b. Nineteen records were duplicates that resulted in the Committee's misreporting of itemized contribution information. This error resulted in the understatement of Itemized Contributions appearing on the Year End 2006 Line 11(a)(i) for the Year to Date by \$1,625,00 and an overstatement of Unitemized Contributions appearing on the Year End 2006 Line 11(a)(ii)

ETEXT ATTACHMENT

for the Year to Date by \$1,625.00.

c. The Committee has merged the records in question an